Title II Project Application

Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 1. | Project Number:117-410 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Project Name: Rogue River Clean-Up 3. County: Josephine | | | | | | |
| 4. | Project Sponsor: Chris Dent, Medford BLM, Grants Pass RA 5. Date: 04/15/03 | | | | | | |
| 6. | Sponsors Phone #: <u>541-618-2275</u> | | | | | | |
| | Sponsor's E-mail: chris_dent@or.blm.gov | | | | | | |
| 8. | Project Location (attach project area maps showing general and specific locations of project.) | | | | | | |
| | a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): | | | | | | |
| b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): | | | | | | | |
| | c. Legal Location: | | | | | | |
| | Township36S Range6W Section(s)18-19 | | | | | | |
| | Township36S. Range7W. Section(s)2,11,13-14 | | | | | | |
| | Township 35S. Range 7W. Section(s) 4-11,14, 23-26,35-36 | | | | | | |
| | Township 34S. Range 8W. Section(s) 1,12-13,24-25,36 | | | | | | |
| | d. BLM District Medford e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass | | | | | | |
| | f. National Forest g. Forest Service District | | | | | | |
| | □ h. State / Private / other lands involved? X Yes No | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: To clean up the river shorelines of garbage after winter/spring high flows prior to the high use season. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) Conduct the annual Rogue River Clean-Up Day (since 1990) with local individuals, business's, and communities from Josephine County along 27 miles of the Wild and Scenic Rogue River. The 2002 clean up day drew 280-plus volunteers who collected approximately 100 cubic yards of garbage, 2 tons of steel, and 40 tires. This project is done in partnership with Josephine County Parks Department. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands? | | | | | | |
| | □ Yes X No If yes, then describe. | | | | | | |
| 12 | . How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)] | | | | | | |
| | Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | | | | |
| | Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | | | | |
| | X Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | | | | |
| | X Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)] | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 13 | . Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)] | | | | | | |
| | Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] ☐ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] ☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] ☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] | | | | | | |
| | X Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] ☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] | | | | | | |
| | □ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] | | | | | | |

October 23, 2002

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| ☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Exp (Use workload measures used for the budget process | | | | | | | | |
| a. Total Acres: | b. Total Miles: 27 | | | | | | | |
| c. No. Structures: | d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental | | | | | | | |
| N. OCL I. B | education projects): | | | | | | | |
| e. No. Of Laborer Days: | | | | | | | | |
| f. Other (specify): g. Program Element: _HB | _ | | | | | | | |
| g. 110gram Element11D | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Duration of Project and Estimated Comple May 17, 2004 | etion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: | | | | | | | |
| 16. Target Species (plants/wildlife etc.) Benefi | ted: N/A | | | | | | | |
| 17. How will cooperative relationships among 2(b)(3)] | people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. | | | | | | | |
| The clean-up day draws approximately 300 individuals representing a myriad of backgrounds, but reflects a common interest in "cleaning up" the shorelines of the Wild and Scenic Rogue River. Over \$4,000 worth of prizes and other items were donated for the event which are raffled after the work is completed and during an awards barbecue. | | | | | | | | |
| This section of the Wild and Scenic Rogue Ri and Labor Day, of which all are recreation on | ver receives over 300,000 users between Memorial Day or adjacent to the river. It is very important (as shown by g communities) that the river and its shorelines represent River. | | | | | | | |
| 19. How does project benefit federal lands/res This project benefits the resource by removing Wild and Scenic Rogue River. | ources? g garbage and unwanted debris from the shorelines of the | | | | | | | |
| 20. Status of Project Planning | | | | | | | | |
| a. NEPA Complete: | X Yes □ No | | | | | | | |
| b. If No, give est. date of completion: | | | | | | | | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete | : Yes □ No X Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Comple | te: Yes □ No 🗡 Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | Yes □ No 🗡 Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: | Yes □ No 🗶 Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obta | ined: Yes □ No X Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | Yes □ No 🗶 Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | Yes □ No 🗶 Not Applicable | | | | | | | |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, | | | | | | | |

October 23, 2002 2

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 21. | Propo | osea Method(s) of Accomplishment | | |
|-----|-------|---|----------------|--|
| | | Contract | X | Federal Workforce |
| | | County Workforce | X | Volunteers |
| | | Other (specify): | | |
| 22. | | he Project Generate Merchantable N Yes X No | Iater i | ials? (Sec. 204(e)(3)) |
| 23. | | ipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ | 10. | 000.00 |
| | b. | Is this a multi-year funding request? Y e. FY04 Request: \$ f. FY05 Request: \$ g. FY06 Request: \$ | es , | No If yes, then display by fiscal year |

*** Note: If you have a complex budget, add it as an appendix. The Resource Advisory Committee will want to know specifically how the funds will be spent.

| to know specifically how the funds will be spent. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Item | Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Total Available Funds | | | |
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys | | | | | | | |
| 25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation | | | | | | | |
| 26. Permit Acquisition | | | | | | | |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering | | | | | | | |
| 28. Contract Preparation | | | | | | | |
| 29. Contract Administration | | | | | | | |
| 30. Contract Cost | | | | | | | |
| 31. Workforce Cost | \$3,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | | \$8,000.00 | | | |
| 32. Materials & Supplies | | \$5,000.00 | | \$5,000.00 | | | |
| 33. Monitoring | | | | | | | |
| 34. Other | | | \$4,000.00 | | | | |
| 35. Project Subtotal | \$3,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$17,000.00 | | | |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects) | | | | | | | |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate | \$3,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$17,000.00 | | | |

October 23, 2002

Secure Kural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 38. | Identif | y Source | e(s) of | Other | Funding | g in | Column | C. Above | [Sec. 203(| (b)(4)]: N | /A |
|-----|----------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|------|--------|----------|------------|------------|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Hellgate Jetboat Excursions – jetboat, jetboat driver, and prizes – \$550

Clif Bars – cases of Clif Bars – \$400

Crystal Fresh – cases of bottled water – \$300

Grants Pass Daily Courier – thank you advertisement – \$250

Rafting companies, lodges, local businesses – prizes for drawing – \$2000

Pepsi Cola Company – Pepsi for end of the day barbecue – \$250

Community Bank of Grants Pass – prizes - \$250

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203 (b)(6)

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Chris Dent, Rogue River Program Manager. Will monitor implementation of project to ensure project implemented as designed.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

N/A

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

N/A

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$0

October 23, 2002 4